

# Tislelizumab-jsgr (TEVIMBRA) National Drug Monograph January 2026

VA Pharmacy Benefits Management Services and National Formulary Committee

The purpose of VA National Formulary Committee drug monographs is to provide a focused drug review for making formulary decisions. Updates will be made if new clinical data warrant additional formulary discussion. The Product Information or other resources should be consulted for detailed and most current drug information.

<b>FDA APPROVAL INFORMATION</b>	<b>Description / MOA</b>	Programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1) blocking antibody
	<b>Indications Under Review<sup>1</sup></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>First-line treatment, in combo with a platinum-containing regimen in unresectable or metastatic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) whose tumors express PD-L1 (<math>\geq 1</math>)</li> <li>Subsequent therapy as a single agent in unresectable or metastatic ESCC if no prior immune checkpoint inhibitor</li> <li>First-line treatment, in combination with platinum- and fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy, for unresectable or metastatic HER2-negative gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma whose tumors express PD-L1 (<math>\geq 1</math>)</li> </ul>
	<b>Dosage Regimens</b>	150mg IV every 2 weeks, 200mg IV every 3 weeks, 300mg IV every 4 weeks or 400mg IV every 6 weeks
	<b>Dosage Forms Under Review</b>	Injection: 100 mg/10 mL (10 mg/mL) solution in a single dose vial

<b>Trial</b>	<b>RATIONALE-306; first-line treatment of unresectable or metastatic ESCC</b>
<b>Design</b>	Global, randomized, placebo controlled, double blind
<b>Population</b>	Unresectable, recurrent or metastatic ESCC; enrolled regardless of PD-L1 expression level; no prior systemic therapy for advanced disease; $\geq 6$ month treatment-free interval needed post-neo/adjuvant therapy Exclusions: Active or uncontrolled CNS disease, active autoimmune disease, medical condition requiring systemic corticosteroids or immune suppressants, fistula or esophageal obstruction
<b>Intervention</b>	Tislelizumab 200mg IV every 3 weeks + platinum-based chemo* until progression or toxicity
<b>Comparator</b>	Placebo every 3 weeks + platinum-based chemo* until progression or toxicity
<b>Demographics</b>	Stratified by region, prior definitive therapy and choice of chemotherapy; Median Age 64 years (26-84), 48% $\geq 65$ yrs; 87% male; 75% Asian; 24% White 86% metastatic disease; ECOG 1 – 67%; 34% PD-L1 TAP $\geq 10\%$ ; 74% TAP $\geq 1\%$ ; 74% CPS $\geq 1\%$
<b>Results</b>	<p><b>Tislelizumab + chemo* (n=326) vs. placebo + chemo* (n=323); n=649</b></p> <p><b>ITT:</b> median follow-up 16.3 vs. 9.8 mos: <b>median OS</b> 17.2 vs. 10.6 mos [HR 0.66 (95% CI 0.54-0.80); <math>p &lt; 0.0001</math>]</p> <p><b>Median treatment exposure</b> 6.4 vs. 4.9 mos</p> <p><b>Subsequent therapy received</b> 48 vs. 55%; subsequent ICI 14 vs. 22%</p> <p>Retrospective analysis of RATIONALE-306 population with PD-L1 TAP score <math>\geq 1\%</math><sup>4</sup></p> <p><b>CPS <math>\geq 1</math> (n=480):</b> follow-up@43 mos, mOS 17 vs. 10 mos [HR 0.66, 95% CI 0.54-0.81] Follow-up@23 mos, PFS 7 vs. 6 mos [HR 0.58, 95% CI 0.46-0.72], ORR 58 vs. 32%</p> <p><b>TAP <math>\geq 1\%</math> (n=481):</b> follow-up@43 mos, mOS 17 vs. 10 mos [HR 0.66, 95% CI 0.54-0.81] Follow-up@23 mos, PFS 7 vs. 6 mos [HR 0.58, 95% CI 0.46-0.72], ORR 58 vs. 32%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Due to unbalanced risk/benefit ratio, FDA's ODAC voted against use of ICI in 1L advanced ESCC and PD-L1 TAP <math>&lt; 1\%</math> or CPS <math>&lt; 1\%</math></li> <li>This retrospective analysis confirms results supporting use of tislelizumab + chemo in 1L setting with PD-L1 CPS or TAP <math>\geq 1\%</math></li> </ul>
<p>* Investigator choice of doublet: cisplatin 60-80 mg/m<sup>2</sup> IV on day 1 or oxaliplatin 130 mg/m<sup>2</sup> IV on day 1 AND fluorouracil 750-800 mg/m<sup>2</sup> IV on days 1 to 5 or capecitabine 1000mg/m<sup>2</sup> PO twice daily on days 1 to 14 OR cisplatin 60-80 mg/m<sup>2</sup> IV on day 1 or oxaliplatin 130 mg/m<sup>2</sup> IV on day 1 AND paclitaxel 175 mg/m<sup>2</sup> IV on day 1</p>	

<b>Trial</b>	<b>RATIONALE-302 (NCT03430843); second-line treatment of ESCC</b>
<b>Design</b>	Multicenter, randomized, open label
<b>Population</b>	Unresectable advanced or metastatic ESCC who progressed on or after first-line systemic chemotherapy, regardless of PD-L1 expression; Exclusions: prior immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) therapy, active CNS mets (symptomatic or requiring treatment), active autoimmune disease, systemic corticosteroids or immune suppressants
<b>Intervention</b>	Tislelizumab 200mg every 3 weeks until progressive disease, unacceptable toxicity to max 2 years
<b>Comparator</b>	Investigator's choice of chemotherapy (ICC): paclitaxel 135-175 mg/m <sup>2</sup> every 3 weeks or 80-100 mg/m <sup>2</sup> weekly, docetaxel 75 mg/m <sup>2</sup> every 3 weeks, or irinotecan 125 mg/m <sup>2</sup> on days 1 and 8 of every 3-week cycle
<b>Demographics</b>	Median Age 62 years; 80% Asian; 84% male; 95% metastatic disease; Patients were included regardless of PD-L1 expression levels; PD-L1 TAP $\geq$ 10% in 30% tumors; PD-L1 expression level was unknown in ~ 20% of the ITT population;
<b>Results</b>	<b>Tislelizumab vs. chemotherapy (paclitaxel, docetaxel, or irinotecan); N=512</b> <b>Primary endpoint:</b> median OS 8.6 vs. 6.3 months; [HR 0.70 (95% CI, 0.57-0.85)]; p=0.0001 12-month OS: 37.4 vs. 23.7% <b>Secondary endpoint:</b> median OS in TAP $\geq$ 10%: 10.3 vs. 6.8 months; [HR 0.54 (95% CI, 0.36-0.790) p=0.0006; Subgroup analysis suggests baseline PD-L1 status of TAP < 10% and unknown (~20%) favor tislelizumab; both confidence intervals cross the value of 1; distribution of patients with TAP $\geq$ 10% was not balanced with 35% vs. 27% in tislelizumab vs. chemotherapy arm <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient population did not depend on PD-L1 expression level</li> <li>• PD-L1 expression level was unknown in 20% of ITT population</li> <li>• Imbalance of PD-L1 TAP <math>\geq</math> 10% between groups makes it difficult to conclude, with confidence, that patients receiving tislelizumab had improved mOS compared to the chemotherapy arm</li> <li>• Assumption that PD-L1 TAP &lt; 10% and unknown expression level favors tislelizumab therapy cannot be made with confidence due to imbalance among treatment arms and broad Cis.</li> </ul>
<b>Trial</b>	<b>RATIONALE-305 (NCT03777657) in 1L gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma</b>
<b>Design</b>	Randomized, multicenter, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial
<b>Population</b>	Untreated, unresectable or metastatic HER2-negative gastric or GEJ adenocarcinoma in PD-L1 $\geq$ 1 tumors; Enrolled regardless of PD-L1 expression level. Exclusions: active/uncontrolled CNS disease, autoimmune disease, condition requiring systemic corticosteroids/immunosuppressants
<b>Intervention</b>	Tislelizumab 200mg IV every 3 weeks with ICC* (CAPOX or FP)
<b>Comparator</b>	Placebo IV every 3 weeks with ICC* (CAPOX or FP)
<b>Demographics</b>	Stratified by geography, PD-L1 expression, peritoneal metastasis, ICC option Median age 60-61 yrs; male 70%; Asian 75%, White 22%, peritoneal mets 44%, oxaliplatin/capecitabine 94%
<b>Results</b>	<b>Tislelizumab + ICC* vs. Placebo + ICC*; n=997 (n=546 TAP <math>\geq</math> 5%)</b> <b>Primary endpoint: OS in PD-L1 TAP <math>\geq</math> 5% and all randomized patients</b> <b>PD-L1 TAP <math>\geq</math> 5%:</b> median OS 17.2 vs. 12.6 months [HR 0.74 (95% CI 0.59-0.94); p=0.0006] <b>All patients:</b> median OS 15 vs. 12.9 months [HR 0.80 (95% CI 0.70-0.92) p=0.001] Subgroup analysis: Asia, US/Europe, presence of peritoneal mets, oxali/cape favored treatment arm
<b>Results</b>	Retrospective analysis of RATIONALE-305 in patients with PD-L1 TAP $\geq$ 1% <b>Tislelizumab + ICC vs. Placebo + ICC; n=997 (n=885 TAP <math>\geq</math> 1%)</b> <b>PD-L1 TAP <math>\geq</math> 1%:</b> median OS 15 vs. 12.8 months [HR 0.77 (95% CI 0.67-0.90) Median duration of exposure to tislelizumab was 5.9 vs. 5.7 months After study discontinuation, more patients in the placebo arm went on to subsequent therapy 53 vs. 59%
<b>Misc</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patients were enrolled in RATIONALE-305 regardless of PD-L1 expression</li> <li>• Of 997 enrolled, 546 (55%) had PD-L1 expression score <math>\geq</math> 5%</li> <li>• All patients and those with PD-L1 <math>\geq</math> 5% experienced improved OS in the treatment vs. placebo arms.</li> <li>• A retrospective analysis of patients with PD-L1 <math>\geq</math> 1 showed a modest improvement in OS</li> <li>• Duration of exposure was similar and more patients in the placebo arm received subsequent therapy</li> <li>• Higher PD-L1 expression appears to coincide with higher response; patients, at minimum, should have PD-L1 <math>\geq</math> 1% to be considered for tislelizumab</li> </ul>

**Esophageal and esophagogastric junction cancer**

**Histology: adenocarcinoma**

**NCCN Preferred 1L regimens, HER2-negative:**

Fluoropyrimidine + platinum agent + ICI (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, tislelizumab) for PD-L1 CPS > 1 (cat 2A)

Fluoropyrimidine + platinum agent + ICI (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, tislelizumab) for PD-L1 CPS > 5 (cat 1)

**UpToDate:**

Fluoropyrimidine + platinum agent + ICI (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, tislelizumab) for PD-L1 CPS > 10

Fluoropyrimidine + platinum agent + ICI (nivolumab, tislelizumab) for PD-L1 CPS > 5 and < 10

**Histology: squamous cell carcinoma**

**NCCN Preferred 1L regimens:**

Fluoropyrimidine + platinum agent + ICI (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, tislelizumab) for PD-L1 CPS > 1 (cat 1)

Platinum agent + paclitaxel + tislelizumab for PD-L1 CPS > 1 (cat 2A)

**UpToDate:**

Fluoropyrimidine + platinum agent for PD-L1 CPS > 1

Fluoropyrimidine + platinum agent + ICI (nivolumab, tislelizumab) for PD-L1 CPS > 1 and < 10

Fluoropyrimidine + platinum agent + ICI (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, tislelizumab) for PD-L1 CPS > 10

Or Nivolumab + ipilimumab for PD-L1 CPS > 10

**Histology: squamous cell carcinoma**

**NCCN Preferred 2L or subsequent therapy:**

ICI (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, tislelizumab if PD-L1 CPS > 10) (cat 1)

Single agent chemo: docetaxel, paclitaxel, irinotecan (cat 1)

**UpToDate:**

Single agent 2L ICI (nivolumab, tislelizumab) or pembrolizumab for CPS > 10, if no ICI in 1L setting

Chemotherapy if not eligible for ICI

**VA Oncology Clinical Pathways Esophageal Cancer v7.2025** does not include tislelizumab

**1L Adenocarcinoma, MSS, HER2-negative CPS 1-4 and > 5** directs to nivolumab-containing regimen

**1L Squamous cell carcinoma, CPS > 1** directs to nivolumab + mFOLFOX6 or nivolumab + ipilimumab

**2L Squamous cell carcinoma, CPS > 10** directs to pembrolizumab; CPS < 10 directs to nivolumab

**Gastric and gastroesophageal cancer**

**NCCN: Preferred 1L regimens, HER2-negative:**

Fluoropyrimidine + platinum agent + ICI (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, tislelizumab) for PD-L1 CPS > 1 (cat 2A)

Fluoropyrimidine + platinum agent + ICI (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, tislelizumab) for PD-L1 CPS > 5 (cat 1)

**VA Oncology Clinical Pathways Gastric Cancer v4.2025** does not include tislelizumab

**1L Adenocarcinoma, MSS, HER2+ CPS ≥ 1** directs to pembrolizumab or nivolumab

**1L Adenocarcinoma, MSS, HER2-negative CPS 1-4 and ≥ 5** directs to nivolumab-containing regimen

\* ICC Investigator’s Choice of Chemotherapy included CAPOX. Oxaliplatin 130mg/m<sup>2</sup> IV on day 1 for up to 6 cycles and capecitabine 1000mg/m<sup>2</sup> PO twice daily for 14 days (can continue beyond 6 cycles) or FP. Cisplatin 80mg/m<sup>2</sup> IV on day 1 and fluorouracil 800mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day CIVI over 24 hours days 1-5 for up to 6 cycles.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS**

<b>Boxed Warnings</b>	None
<b>Contraindications</b>	None
<b>Other Warnings</b>	Severe and fatal immune-mediated adverse reactions, Infusion-related reactions (all 5%; ≥ gr 3 0.2%) - monitor patients; manage by slowing and/or interrupting infusion rate, Complications of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation – complications include GVHD, hepatic veno-occlusive disease, Embryo-fetal toxicity – can cause fetal harm when administered to a pregnant woman
<b>Top 5 AEs</b>	<b>Second-line ESCC:</b> anemia, fatigue, musculoskeletal pain, decreased weight, cough <b>First-line ESCC:</b> neutropenia, hyponatremia, hyperglycemia, anemia, fatigue <b>First-line gastric or GEJ adenocarcinoma:</b> nausea, fatigue, decreased appetite, anemia, neuropathy
<b>Drug Interactions</b>	None noted

PLACE IN THERAPY	DRUG	VANF	CFU	FDA	Key Endpoints
	Tislelizumab	TBD	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1L PD-L1 <math>\geq 1</math>, mESCC</li> <li>2L+ mESCC</li> <li>1L PD-L1 <math>\geq 1</math>, HER2- gastric or GEJ adeno w/platinum + fluoropyrimidine</li> </ul>	<p><b>RATIONALE-306.</b> 1L mESCC Median OS (mOS) ITT: 17 vs. 10 mos [HR 0.66]</p> <p><b>RATIONALE-302.</b> 2L mESCC mOS ITT: 8.6 vs. 6.3 mos [HR 0.70] mOS TAP <math>\geq 10\%</math>: 10.3 vs. 6.8 mos [HR 0.54]</p> <p><b>RATIONALE-305.</b> 1L mGastric or GEJ adeno mOS TAP <math>\geq 5\%</math>: 17.2 vs. 12.6 mos [HR 0.74] mOS TAP <math>\geq 1\%</math>: 15 vs. 12.8 mos [HR 0.77]</p>
	Nivolumab	PA-F	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1L PD-L1 <math>\geq 1</math>, mESCC w/platinum + fluoropyrimidine</li> <li>1L PD-L1 <math>\geq 1</math>, mESCC w/ipilimumab</li> <li>2L+ mESCC</li> <li>1L PD-L1 <math>\geq 1</math>, gastric, GEJ or eso adeno w/platinum + fluoropyrimidine</li> </ul>	<p><b>CheckMate 648.</b> 1L ESCC Nivo + chemo vs. chemo alone ITT mOS 13.2 vs. 10.7 mos [HR 0.74]; TPS <math>\geq 1</math>: mOS 15.4 vs. 9.1 mos [HR 0.54] CPS <math>\geq 1</math>: mOS 13.8 vs. 9.8 mos [HR 0.69]</p> <p><b>ATTRACTION-3.</b> Prev-treated mESCC, no prior ICI Nivo vs. taxane mOS 10.9 vs. 8.5 mos [HR 0.77]</p> <p><b>CheckMate 649.</b> 1L mGastric or GEJ adeno ITT: mOS 13.7 vs. 11.6 mos [HR 0.79] CPS <math>\geq 5</math>: mOS 14.4 vs. 11.1 [HR 0.70] CPS <math>\geq 1</math>: mOS 14 vs. 11.3 [HR 0.77]</p>
	Pembrolizumab	PA-F	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1L PD-L1 (CPS <math>\geq 1</math>) ESCC w/platinum + fluoropyrimidine</li> <li>2L+ PD-L1 (CPS <math>\geq 10</math>) ESCC</li> <li>1L PD-L1 (CPS <math>\geq 1</math>) HER2-gastric or GEJ adeno w/platinum + fluoropyrimidine</li> </ul>	<p><b>KEYNOTE 590.</b> 1L ESCC/adeno (73/27%) Pembro + chemo vs. chemo alone ITT mOS 12.4 vs. 9.8 mos [HR 0.73] SCC CPS <math>\geq 10</math> mOS 13.5 vs. 9.4 mos; [HR 0.62] SCC CPS <math>&lt; 10</math> mOS 10.5 vs. 11.1 mos [HR 0.99] SCC CPS <math>&lt; 10</math> mOS 12.7 vs. 8.4 mos [HR 0.66] adeno</p> <p><b>KEYNOTE 181.</b> 2L+ pembro vs. chemo in SCC CPS <math>\geq 10</math> mOS 9.3 vs. 6.7 mos [HR 0.64]</p> <p><b>KEYNOTE 859.</b> 1L mGastric or GEJ adeno ITT: mOS 12.9 vs. 11.5 mos [HR 0.78] CPS <math>\geq 1</math>: mOS 13 vs. 11.4 mos [HR 0.74] CPS <math>\geq 10</math>. mOS 15.7 vs. 11.8 [HR 0.65]</p>

VHA PLACE IN THERAPY	Potential Use in VHA
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are varying opinions on data interpretation among expert groups regarding PD-L1 expression scores and which ICI is most appropriate</li> <li>The FDA Oncology Drug Advisory Committee conducted a meta-analysis of data in advanced ESCC to evaluate the addition of ICI (nivolumab, pembrolizumab, tislelizumab) to chemotherapy. A survival benefit was noted among patients with CPS <math>\geq 1</math>, but not CPS <math>&lt; 1</math></li> <li>Data from the RATIONALE program suggests an improvement in response with higher CPS scores</li> <li>Tislelizumab does not appear to provide additional benefit to the current ICI landscape in ESCC or gastric/GEJ adenocarcinoma</li> </ul>

Prepared November 2025 by Matthew A. Fuller, Pharm.D., BCPP and Berni Heron, Pharm.D., BCOP  
 Contact person: Berni Heron, PharmD, BCOP, National PBM Clinical Pharmacy Program Manager, Formulary Management, VA Pharmacy Benefits Management Services (12PBM)

## References

1. TEVIMBRA (tislelizumab-jsgr) injection [prescribing information]. BeiGene USA Inc., San Mateo, CA 12.2025.
2. Shen L, Kato K, Kim SB et al. Tislelizumab versus chemotherapy as second-line treatment for advanced or metastatic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (RATIONALE-302): a randomized phase III study. *J Clin Oncol* 2022;40(26):3065-3076.
3. Xu J, Kato K, Raymond E, et al. Tislelizumab plus chemotherapy versus placebo plus chemotherapy as first-line treatment for advanced or metastatic oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma (RATIONALE-306): a global, randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2023; 24: 483-495.
4. Xu J, Kato K, Hubner R, et al. First-line tislelizumab plus chemotherapy for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma with programmed death-ligand 1 expression  $\geq$  1%: a retrospective analysis of RATIONALE-306 *Adv Ther* 2025; 42: 2269-2284.
5. Qiu MZ, Oh DY, Kato K, et al. on behalf of the RATIONALE-305 Investigators. Tislelizumab plus chemotherapy versus placebo plus chemotherapy as first line treatment for advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma: RATIONALE-305 randomised, double blind, phase 3 trial. *BMJ* 2024; 385; e078876.
6. Moehler M, Oh DY, Kato K, et al. First line tislelizumab plus chemotherapy for advanced cancer with programmed death-ligand 1 expression  $\geq$  1%: A retrospective analysis of RATIONALE-305. *Adv Ther* 2025; 42: 2248-2268.
7. Yoon HH, Strickland MR. Initial systemic therapy for metastatic esophageal and gastric cancer. L Schnipper, Shah SM, Eds. 2025 from <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/initial-systemic-therapy-for-metastatic-esophageal-and-gastric-cancer>
8. Yoon HH. Second- and later-line systemic therapy for metastatic esophageal and gastric cancer. Schnipper, L, Shah SM, Eds. 2025 from [https://www.uptodate.com/contents/second- and later-line systemic therapy for metastatic esophageal and gastric cancer](https://www.uptodate.com/contents/second-and-later-line-systemic-therapy-for-metastatic-esophageal-and-gastric-cancer)
9. Doki Y, Ajani JA, Kato K, et al. for the CheckMate 648 Investigators. Nivolumab combination therapy in advanced esophageal squamous-cell carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 2022; 386: 449-462.
10. Kato K, Cho BC, Takahashi M, et al. Nivolumab versus chemotherapy in patients with advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma refractory or intolerant to previous chemotherapy (ATTRACTION-3): a multicenter, randomized, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2019; 20: 1506-1517.
11. Janjigian YY, Shitara K, Moehler M, et al. First line nivolumab plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for advanced gastric, gastro-esophageal junction, and esophageal adenocarcinoma (CheckMate 649): a randomized, open-label phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2021; 398: 27-40.
12. Sun JM, Shen L, Shah MA, et al. for the KEYNOTE-590 investigators. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for first-line treatment of advanced esophageal cancer: a randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. *Lancet* 2021; 398: 759-771
13. Kojima T, Shah MA, Muro K et al. for the KEYNOTE-181 investigators. Randomised phase III KEYNOTE-181 study of pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy in advanced esophageal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2020; 38: 4138-4148
14. Rha SY, Oh DY, Yanez P, et al for the KEYNOTE-859 investigators. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy versus placebo plus chemotherapy for HER2-negative advanced gastric cancer: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2023; 24: 1181-1195.